



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,511	02/13/2004	Eric H. Carter	MS306136.01 / MSFTP549US	8912
27195 7590 06/19/2008 AMIN. TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114			EXAMINER NGUYEN, VAN H	
			ART UNIT 2194	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 06/19/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com  
hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com  
lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/779,511	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CARTER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> VAN H. NGUYEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2194	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Van H. Nguyen. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Bhavani S. Rayaprolu (Reg. No. 56,583). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06/16/2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: independent claims 1 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Herrmann (US 5995756).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Herrmann reference and Applicant's proposed amendment to independent claims 1 and 15 were discussed. The proposed amendments appear to overcome the 35 USC § 101 and 102 rejections. The Examiner will fully consider Applicant's amendments when a formal response is received.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/VAN H NGUYEN/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required